

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/553,508 | 10/14/2005 | Kenji Morimoto | OKUDP0137US | 7432 |
| 51921 7590 03/11/2009 MARK D. SARALINO (PAN) | | | EXAMINER | |
| RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP | | | KHAN, ASHER R | |
| 1621 EUCLID 19TH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CLEVELAND | | | 2621 | • |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/11/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/553.508 MORIMOTO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit ASHER KHAN 2621 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Asher Khan. (3)Kerry S. Culpepper. (2) Thai Tran. (4)Seiji Hamada. Date of Interview: 04 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative

If Yes, brief description: _______
Claim(s) discussed: 19.25 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6.654,500 and 6.532,336.

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The difference between new claims and the applied references has been discussed. It appears that the references do not teach or suggest the claimed wherein if the detecting section has detected... to be decoded and starts to decode the next unit." An updated search will be performed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| A. K./ xaminer, Art Unit 2621 | /Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621 | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|